

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,160	01/02/2002	Tomm V. Aldridge	42390P13048	7789
8791	7590 03/19/2004		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR			DEBERADINIS, ROBERT L	
	LES, CA 90025	ENTH FLOOR	,	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<del></del>	<u></u>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
		10/038,160	ALDRIDGE ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Robert DeBeradinis	2836			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a report. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 January 2002.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration.				
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2002</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the countries of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ obj o the drawing(s) be held in abeyance orrection is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Business the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in App priority documents have been re ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment	t(s)					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur				
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Sl r No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/18/03, 11/12/03</u> .		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2836

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851.

Regarding claims 1, 2.

MOSS discloses at least one power supply, the at least one power supply coupled to a power supply fan (figure 3) MOSS also teaches external cooling fans and internal power supply cooling fans and fan malfunctions cause overheating which cause PC failure MOSS also discloses redundant power supplies (column 1, lines 37-52).

MOSS does not disclose a first power source terminal coupled to the at least one power supply, a second power source terminal coupled to the at least one power supply, wherein the power supply fan is powered from a source external to the at least one power supply.

The Examiner takes official notice. The use of external power sources to supply backup power to a load and the use of terminals to inter-connect a load to a power source is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art. A power supply having multiple power outputs to feed different voltages to different parts of a system is also well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2836

GUDAT discloses an apparatus comprising at least one power supply (4), the at least one power supply coupled to a fan, a second power source coupled to the fan wherein the fan is powered from the second source (16) to supply a redundant source of power to the fan motor in the event the main power source fails.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the teachings of MOSS to include a second power source terminal coupled to the at least one power supply, wherein the power supply fan is powered from a source external to the at least one power supply. The motivation would be to supply backup power to the cooling fan to prevent a total failure of a power supply having multiple power outputs when the power output powering the cooling fan fails.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851 in further view of FUNG US2002/0004913. Regarding claim 5.

MOSS in view of GUDAT discloses the apparatus of claim 1.

MOSS in view of GUDAT does not disclose a server.

FUNG discloses power supplies and modular fans to provide high reliability in server environment (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 6 to provide power and cooling to the server. The motivation would have been to provide a highly reliable cooling fan to maintain temperatures with the design limits of the electronic components in the server.

Art Unit: 2836

Claims 3, 4, 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851 in further view of GIORGIO 5,963,887.

Regarding claims 3, 6.

MOSS in view of GUDAT discloses the apparatus of claim 1.

MOSS in view of GUDAT does not teach a fan speed controller coupled internally to the at least one power supply.

GIORGIO teaches a fan speed controller (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 1 to include a fan speed controller. The motivation would be to change the fan speed with changing load demands on the power supply to maintain a minimum fan speed necessary to sustain appropriate cooling levels to minimize the generation of noise due to the airflow.

Regarding claims 4, 7, 8.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO discloses the apparatus of claim 3.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO does not teach wherein the fan speed controller provides a voltage to the power supply fan upon the at least one power supply failing.

GUDAT teaches wherein the controller (10) provides a voltage to the fan upon the at least one power supply failing.

Art Unit: 2836

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to connect (18, speed control power input) to a source selection means.

The motivation would be to provide redundant power to the cooling fan upon the at least one power supply failing.

Regarding claims 9, 10, 11.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO discloses the apparatus of claim 6.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO does not teach a second fan speed controller coupled to the fan speed controller terminal.

The second fan speed controller is merely a duplication of parts.

GUDAT teaches redundant power source used in the event a first source fails.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 6 to include a second fan speed controller coupled to the fan speed controller terminal. The motivation would be to provide a redundant fan speed controller to control the cooling fan in the event the first fan speed controller failed.

Regarding claim 12.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO discloses the apparatus of claim 11.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO does not disclose wherein the first fan speed controller and the second fan speed controller provide a voltage to the supply fan simultaneously.

Art Unit: 2836

The Examiner takes official notice. It is well known in the art that power sources, for example, batteries simultaneously supply power to a load when they are connected in parallel, to supply a load that requires more power than a single battery can supply.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 11 and connect the first fan speed controller and the second fan speed controller in parallel to simultaneously supply power to the power supply fan. The motivation would be to power a power supply fan that requires more power than the first fan speed controller can supply.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851 in further view of GIORGIO 5,963,887 and FUNG US2002/0004913.

Regarding claim 13.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO discloses the apparatus of claim 6.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORIO does not disclose wherein the external source is a server, the at least one power supply providing a portion of the power to the server.

FUNG discloses power supplies and modular fans to provide high reliability in server environment (abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to modify the apparatus of claim 6 to provide power and cooling to the

Art Unit: 2836

server. The motivation would have been to provide a highly reliable cooling fan to maintain temperatures with the design limits of the electronic components in the server.

Claims 14-20, 21-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over MOSS 5,745,041 in view of GUDAT 6,646,851 in further view of GIORGIO 5,963,887.

Regarding claims 14-20, 21-29.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORGIO disclose an apparatus comprising at least one power supply, the at least one power supply coupled to a power supply fan, a first fan speed controller, the first fan speed controller powered by the at least one power supply an external fan speed controller and wherein power to operate the power supply fan is switched to an external source upon the at least one power supply failing.

MOSS in view of GUDAT in further view of GIORGIO does not teach the power source terminals arranged to the switch the fan speed controllers and the power supplies to control the supplies to the fan controllers and fans.

The Examiner takes official notice. The arrangement of terminals and switches to switch from one power source to another is well known to one having ordinary skill in the art also to merely duplicate and arrange controllers to provide redundant controllers is also well understood.

It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of this invention to arrange switches to provide redundant power sources and speed

Application/Control Number: 10/038,160 Page 8

Art Unit: 2836

controllers to a cooling fan. The motivation to provide redundant power and redundant speed controllers to a cooling fan would be to protect the electronic components in the system in the event the power supply or the fan controller failed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert L.

DeBeradinis whose number is (571) 272-2049. The Examiner can normally be reached

Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Brian Sircus, can be reached on (571) 272-2058. The Fax phone number for

this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Robert Rawelin

**RLD** 

MARCH 2, 2004